ESSENTIAL REFERENCE PAPER B

Staff Car Parking Options

| Option | Car Parking Impact | Staff Impact | Value | Risks |
|------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Staff able to park for | None. | Minimal | High value to staff and | Low to medium |
| free in long-stay car | | | residents, no cost. | |
| parks (Hertford and | Approximately 20 cars | Issues of equality and fair | | Potential for negative |
| Bishops Stortford) | will park across the 4 | | There is no cost to the | public and press |
| and Wallfields car | long stay and mixed | minimised. | authority as spare | perception of 'free car |
| park. | use car parks in | | spaces across 4 car | parking for staff' |
| | Hertford which have a | | • | |
| | spare capacity of 239 | respect of loss of a benefit | Hertford. | |
| | spaces. | believed to be contractual | | The risks of losing car |
| | | through custom and practice. | Car parking numbers | parking income through |
| | Approximately 20 cars | | are very low in Stortford | staff use of car parks is |
| | will park in the long | 1 | | identified as extremely |
| | stay and mixed used | | · • | low, as the occupancy |
| | car parks in Stortford | allocation of spaces. | spaces to meet staff | figures show capacity in |
| | which have 820 | | parking needs. | excess of that required |
| | spaces. | Inconvenience of walking | | to accommodate the |
| | | additional distance to work, | | minor staff use. |
| | | adding time to the day is | | |
| | | mitigated. | park will be much easier | Advice has been |
| | | | to administer, saving | provided from the |
| | | | staff time and distress. | Council's Risk |
| | | | | Management Team that |
| | | | | it can be preferable that |
| | | | | |

| Introduce a charge | None | High | Low/limited – low take | the Council should not specify a single location for parking. By ensuring members of staff have choice over location (for example in the event of adverse weather conditions) the staff member can make the best decision for themselves regarding where to park, like any other motorist. |
|------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| for all staff using a | None | 1 ligi1 | up expected | and service provision |
| car to travel to work, | Approximately 100 | Loss of current benefit, | | ' |
| possibly a | staff will park on | perceived as contractual | A levy/charge would | Potential for negative |
| 'workplace parking | uncontrolled residential | through custom and practice | generate a fund, ring | public and press |
| levy'. | streets. | will result in challenge. | fenced to transportation | perception of subsidised |
| The levy/charge | | Additional cost to park | improvement. The actual value may be | parking for staff. |
| would permit parking | | perceived as a further pay | lower due to part-time | Potential staff conflict |
| in Wallfields and the | | cut by staff. | working, job share and | and industrial action. |
| long stay car parks | | | home working. | |
| in Hertford, Grange | | Conflict in amount payable | | An increase in |
| Paddocks in | | for essential users if required | | uncontrolled parking by |
| Bishops' Stortford. | | to have access to a car for | | staff in residential areas |
| | | work. | | may give rise to |
| | | Equity and fairness issues | | additional bad press exposure and demands |
| | | Lyuny and fairness issues | | exposure and demands |

| | | about amount of charge | | for residents permit |
|-------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | between pay grades, full and | | zones. The experience |
| | | part-time workers. | | of other Councils is that |
| | | part-time workers. | | |
| | | Cavity and fairness issues | | staff will seek to park on |
| | | Equity and fairness issues | | unrestricted roads |
| | | regarding actual days of use, | | following the |
| | | sickness absence and | | introduction of any |
| | | annual leave. | | blanket charging. |
| | | | | Based on the |
| | | An administration system | | experience of East |
| | | would be needed to | | Lincolnshire Council the |
| | | administrate the levy. | | Council could |
| | | | | reasonable expect up to |
| | | Contractors would have to | | 100 staff seeking |
| | | incur the levy unless the | | parking in the town at no |
| | | parking facility already exists | | charge. |
| | | within their contract with the | | |
| | | Council. | | |
| | | | | |
| | | Issues regarding Members | | |
| | | parking costs and staff. | | |
| | | | | |
| | | A levy is typically cheaper at | | |
| | | £250-350 per year than pay | | |
| | | and display tariffs in car | | |
| | | parks (roughly £1000 per | | |
| | | year long stay in Hertford). | | |
| A mixed solution of | Low | High and many equality | Low | High for staff, residents |
| free parking in | | issues | | and service provision. |
| Wallfields for staff on | Approximately 100 | | If all 40 staff (20 in | |

| a rota basis. Those | staff will park on | Loss of current benefit, | Hertford and 20 in | Poor staff morale at a |
|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| not accommodated | uncontrolled residential | perceived as contractual | Stortford) were to pay | time of change and |
| would be expected | streets. | through custom and practice | for long stay car parking | efficiency measures. |
| to find parking at | | will result in challenge. | the parking pay and | High potential for |
| their own cost. | | · · | display income would be | |
| | | Additional cost to park | £40,000. In practice | |
| | | perceived as a further pay | many would seek and | |
| | | cut by staff. | successfully park on | |
| | | , | street in uncontrolled | |
| | | Conflict in amount payable | locations. | |
| | | for essential users if required | | An increase in |
| | | to have access to a car for | | uncontrolled parking by |
| | | work. | | staff in residential areas |
| | | | | may give rise to |
| | | Equity and fairness issues | | additional bad press |
| | | about access to free car | | exposure and demands |
| | | parking on a rota basis | | for residents permit |
| | | between essential car users, | | zones. These in turn |
| | | pay grades, full and part-time | | cost money to establish, |
| | | workers. | | administer and patrol. |
| | | | | • |
| | | An administration system | | The experience of other |
| | | would be needed to | | Councils is that staff will |
| | | administrate the free parking | | seek to park on |
| | | access. | | unrestricted roads |
| | | | | following the |
| | | Issues regarding Members | | introduction of any |
| | | parking costs and staff. | | blanket charging. |
| | | | | Based on the |
| | | Lack of consistency afforded | | experience of East |

| visitors car park too small to accommodate similar rota based free parking for staff based there. | Council could reasonable expect up to 100 staff seeking parking in the town at no charge. |
|---|---|
|---|---|